

Room: E3 3082018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: Soon please!****Affirmative:** ModernBrain DL**Negative:** Nova 42 Academy TV

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Devin Lai	28	Thomas Vandenburg	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Eric Orozco
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREEast Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- weighing consequence of outcome*
- consequentialism
 - groupthink
 - govt not properly handling leaks
 - antagonistic relations
 - should pass Free Flow of Information Act
 - protection of journalistic sources
 - censorship → violence
 - plan interps rec
 - Act: power other than judge
 - reporter: credible source ≠ blogger

Confidential Sources

- maintaining global peace > misinfo
- consequentialism considers all consequences
- more transparency in govt
- Syrian War: Trump can't escalate
- lying journalists get caught
- would not be more misinfo

- rec or plan?
- social welfare: quality of life
- people present lies
- compensation for fake news
- intentionally misleading information
- conf. increases fake news
- consequentialism: end justifies the means
- moral issue
- confidential sources alive and well
- allow is fine, ↑ protection is not
- does not link to nuclear warfare
- aff knowledge insufficient
- congress cannot determine who's a journalist
- aff does not contrast neg criterion
- aff is fearmongering
- bad US publicity decreases global peace
- implementing act benefits liars due to protection

- other journalists can catch liars
- fake news is overblown
- fake news is obvious, inconsequential

Christopher Uhler - Aveson

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 157

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!


Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership FZ

Negative: ModernBrain HQ

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
✓ <u>Franklin Zhu</u>	<u>27</u>	Harley Qiu	<u>26</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____


 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Aveson
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION




Franklin - Maybe Slow down because when you talk really fast you stammer and that gives you less time to ~~argue~~ argue your points.

Harley - ~~Franklin~~ ~~Harley~~ When you were crossed by Franklin you weren't clear when answering ~~to~~ his questions about your argument.

80

Christopher Uhler - Aveson

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 157

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership TH

Negative: ModernBrain HH

<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> (30-1)	<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> (30-1)
✓ <u>Ted Hong</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>Hanson He</u>	<u>26</u>

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

Chris Uhler
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Aveson
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

✓ Aff-6
✓ Cross-3
✓ Neg-7
✓ Cross-3
✓ Aff R-4
✓ Neg R-6
✓ Aff-3

Calling
affect

84

^{JONATHAN}
Danise Kimball - Mirman

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 311

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning EF

Negative: ModernBrain AC

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)


____ Evan Fu

- NO Show

____ Anish Chowdhury

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____



JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

~~ESHA~~ CSULA

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

78

Gabe Salazar - ELAC

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 1512018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: Soon please!****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning SJ**Negative:** ModernBrain MFNamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)X
Soren Jensen

Michael Fu

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

Gabe Salazar
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREEAST LOS ANGELES COLLEGE
JUDGE'S AFFILIATIONOnly Soren Jensen
appeared

Room: E3 155

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain KL

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning JK

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
1. Kevin Lai	2. 25	2. John Ko	1. 30

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Galvan
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

East Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

- If the judge's preference is no spreading, don't Spread!
- Use more ~~ex~~ examples than Trump
- Try not to use so many hypotheticals. Your argument will be stronger if you talk about what is happening now.
- When asking questions during cross x, be confident. You don't want your opponent to think you're unsure.

NEG

- During cross x, be louder and confident in what your asking.
- Make sure your questions are short enough ~~to not waste time~~ to not waste time.
- Good job being clear on your framework
- Thank you for not spreading. It made your argument clear.
- Try enunciating more & being louder. You don't seem confident in your argument.
- Good job transitioning to ~~your~~ the affs argument.

87

RACHEL Galvan

Kaitlyn Gonzalez - ELAC

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 155

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain VS

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
CF

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

1. Vera Sun

2

20

2.

Christina Fang

1

25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

-Be louder! You seem
unconfident.-Try using more examples
of Trump.

-

Neg

- If the judge does not
want spreading, don't
spread!→ - Use examples from other
countries- Good job adding stats and
percentages. — adds to
your argument. (makes it
stronger)- Thank you for not
spreading in your second
argument.

85

JONATHAN
Danise Kimball - Mirman

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 311

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain NJNegative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
ML

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Nathan Ji	20 30	Maxwell Lee	2 20

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

CSU/a
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Nathan: strong speaker, great clarity, good sources & analysis.
~~good~~ good impacts
 - very articulate
 - nuke war argument needs stronger links
 - "the foundation of democracy" - sat what that means - a government run by the people.
 - ~~can~~ extend democracy impact

Maxwell - approval ratings are subjective so they don't hold too much weight
 - interesting DH, I think it was very well thought out
 - nuke war arguments need stronger links
 - Bombing Isis however makes more sense
 - good rebuttals, especially on constitutional rights!
 - Don't rely on nuke war impact because depending on your judge they may or may not buy it.
 - Very good speaker, great confidence & ~~good~~ delivery. ^{good} sources.

Nathan: GREAT cross examination!!! Very good trap set for the neg.

- Focus on impact on less whistle blowers & what that means to democracy.
 - What are the "2 democracy impacts"
 - good argument on links
 - good link to the criteria

Maxwell - take your time while speaking. ~~with~~ you explain yourself
- have other impacts in case Nike war losses because
when you put all your eggs in one basket ~~you~~ & you get
de-linked there is no offense from the neg.
- extend arguments ~~light~~

Nathan - good de-link with Nike war argument

- the argument ~~against~~ against mess whistle blower argument is good
BUT it was really late in the debate

Room: E3 151

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership LJ

Negative: ModernBrain AL

NamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)X

Lily Johnson

Alex Lin

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Gabriel JohnsonEAST LOS ANGELES COLLEGE

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

only Lily Johnson
showed up.to both teams please to listen to
watch. Great arguments.

Room: E3 3082018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: Soon please!****Affirmative:** ModernBrain ML**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning PP

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Mike Li	2 26	Phillip Park	1 25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.Low Point Win? ☒Eric Trozco
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREEast Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATIONConfidential Sources

- consequentialism
- war leads to nuclear war
- free Free Flow of information
- Act
- protection of journalistic sources
- free press is guardian of democracy
- public to vote on source disclosure
- criterion: morality
- whistleblowers needed as protection from Trump
- Trump might cause extinction?
- govt can make rigged laws
- Trump → war → extinction
- morality = stopping Trump
- saving the world > saving America
- "a lot of" sources
- I need actual evidence/sources
- Trump not accountable/advisors corrupt
- "50% chance of extinction"
- still no evidence

- consequentialism
- criterion: protecting the American populace
- anonymous sources have unknown intentions
- committing crimes
- classified places cities at risk
- harmful leaks should not be made easier
- govt transparency > leaks
- protecting populace > consequentialism
- whistleblowers do not get prosecuted ex Snowden
- competing govts can use whistleblower info
- Trump is more bark than bite
- other presidents have been worse
- no populace = no morality

Anabelle Xu - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 417

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning TH

Negative: ModernBrain AC

Name	Points (30-1)
1 Timothy Ha	28

Name	Points (30-1)
2 Aidan Chung	28.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AC - Good enunciation, good impacts. I appreciate the sass. Contention two is weird in that it doesn't actually give warrants but just a ton of examples, which isn't enough. Not sure if you write your own case, but I feel like you could benefit from a greater variety of impacts since your speech was so short.

1AR - Good impact weighing, responses were a bit weak. Didn't fully respond to everything in the opponents case. Try to respond to all of your opponents points with more than one response.

2AR - I loved the impact weighing, keep doing it. Nice job comparing impacts especially since your rebuttals to the dissads themselves were a bit weak. Good utilization of historical evidence.

NC - Get a laptop stand so you don't have to hold your laptop. Your case has good link chains but there are some logical gaps - your intelligence dissad doesn't link morale to intelligence agents and terrorism. There didn't seem to be a lot of linking your impacts to your value either.

NR: Effectively covered the flow, didn't extend own points as much as I would have liked. Fantastic rebuttals and usage of evidence, good job on responding to the opponents rebuttals. I didn't see any citations and the warrants were a bit weak, though.

RFD: I bought the NGC's turn that regulation allows the press to be more effective, however was a wash and weighed as CBA.

Room: E3 521

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
AZJMSide 2: Aveson Global Leadership Academy
CWLf

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Pos	Alen Zhang	2	209

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Pos	Jevon Mao	1	209

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Pos	Cooper Westphal	3	209

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Pos	Luca Fassi	4	209

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

All students were excellent speakers. Both cases were strong. Each team dropped against the other but the Neg had more points/impact and overall ^{clearly} upheld the criteria of the Aff and their own. Excellent job. to both teams please to listen and watch. Great arguments.

Anabelle Xu - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R3 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 417

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning JP

Negative: ModernBrain BW

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
1 Jun-Woo Pak	28	2 Belinda Wang	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

1AC - (7000 points, good impacts.
Case could use some more
structure and I didn't
hear citations for some points.
Take care to enunciate
more, sometimes it was
difficult to determine what
you were saying. Even if
you're nervous, don't speed
up and blend your words
together.

1AR - Good organization, responses
were a bit weak though,
wasn't able to directly attack
the link chains. ~~weighed~~ weighed
impacts decently well, I need
references to your opponents
impacts to get a handle on the
scope of the round.

2AR - Good extensions and weighing,
probably could have ^{more} emphasis
though on your own impacts.

OVERALL: Slow down when reading
your case and take care to respond
to all of your opponents' arguments

1NC - Good link chains, I liked the
impacts but I'm not sure
how to weigh them because
no framework was read.
Probably spend more time with
the case and get familiar with
it. Needs more organization

NR - Confusing organization, once
again spend more time with
your case and cards. I don't think
you were flowing, so flow to better
understand your points next time.
Pretty non-responsive to AFF's contentions
and rebuttals.

OVERALL: Get more familiar with
your case and make sure you extend your
points. Good voice and enunciation, you
just need to talk more in your case
speech.

PFD: Voted AFF because of weighing, bought
the democracy impacts.

Room: E3 351

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain PR

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
ILNamePoints
(30-1)

Pradyuman Raja

26NamePoints
(30-1)

Ivan Leong

28Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION